

Estimating Mean Ocular Perfusion Pressure Using Mean Arterial Pressure and Intraocular Pressure

According to Ohm's law, blood flow through a circulation is governed by the ratio of the arteriovenous pressure gradient to the vascular resistance. In the retinal circulation, the arteriovenous pressure gradient (or mean ocular perfusion pressure, MOPP) is equal to the difference between the pressure in the central retinal artery (CRA) and the central retinal vein (CRV). To prevent retinal veins from collapsing under the transmural force exerted by the intraocular pressure (IOP), the pressure inside retinal veins should exceed the IOP (the so-called Starling resistor effect).¹ Because of this equilibrium, IOP closely approximates the pressure inside the CRV under physiological conditions.^{2,3} Similarly, CRA pressure can be measured indirectly using an ophthalmodynamometer, by quantifying the amount of external pressure needed for retinal arteries to collapse.⁴ Because of the inconvenience of ophthalmodynamometry, CRA pressure is often estimated based on the mean brachial artery blood pressure (MAP):

$$\text{MAP} = \frac{1}{3} \times \text{SBP} + \frac{2}{3} \times \text{DBP}$$

where SBP and DBP represent systolic and diastolic brachial artery pressures, respectively.

Depending on body position, different correction factors have been used to account for the difference between brachial and ocular blood pressures, which exists due to differences in flow resistance and hydrostatic pressure between the arm and the eye.⁵ For humans in a seated position, two-thirds is a commonly accepted correction factor to estimate CRA pressure based on brachial blood pressure.⁶ Therefore, MOPP can be calculated using the formula:

$$\text{MOPP}_{\text{correct}} = \frac{2}{3} \times \text{MAP} - \text{IOP}$$

However, a literature review of all articles on MOPP, published in this journal from 1981 to 2016, reveals that several papers erroneously used/reported the formula⁷⁻¹⁴:

$$\text{MOPP}_{\text{incorrect}} = \frac{2}{3} \times (\text{MAP} - \text{IOP})$$

Since multiplication takes precedence over subtraction, the latter formula results in an overestimation of MOPP in the range of 5% to 25% in a normal population.^{15,16} This could lead to erroneous conclusions when comparing results across studies. Therefore, to ensure uniformity and comparability between studies, care should be taken to use and report the correct formula when estimating MOPP based on MAP and IOP.

Karel Van Keer¹
 João Barbosa Breda²
 Luís Abegão Pinto³
 Ingeborg Stalmans^{1,4}
 Evelien Vandewalle¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ²Department of Ophthalmology, Centro Hospitalar São João, Porto, Portugal; ³Department of Ophthalmology, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal; and the ⁴Department of Ophthalmology Neurosciences, Laboratory of Ophthalmology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
 E-mail: Evelien.vandewalle@uzleuven.be

References

1. Patterson SW, Starling EH. On the mechanical factors which determine the output of the ventricles. *J Physiology*. 1914;48:357.
2. Kiel JW. Local determinants. In: Schmetterer L, Kiel J, eds. *Ocular Blood Flow*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 2012:211-241.
3. Bill A. Aspects of the regulation of the uveal venous pressure in rabbits. *Exp Eye Res*. 1962;1:193-199.
4. Robinson F, Riva CE, Grunwald JE, Petrig BL, Sinclair SH. Retinal blood flow autoregulation in response to an acute increase in blood pressure. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1986; 27:722-726.
5. Longo A, Geiser MH, Riva CE. Posture changes and subfoveal choroidal blood flow. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004;45:546-551.
6. Riva CE, Alm A, Pournaras CJ. Ocular circulation. In: Levin LA, Nilsson SFE, Ver Hoeve J, Wu SM, Alm A, Kaufman PF, eds. *Adler's Physiology of the Eye*. 11th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier, Inc.; 2011:243-273.
7. Gherghel D, Hosking SL, Cunliffe IA. Abnormal systemic and ocular vascular response to temperature provocation in primary open-angle glaucoma patients: a case for autonomic failure? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004;45:3546-3554.
8. Choi J, Kim KH, Jeong J, Cho H-S, Lee CH, Kook MS. Circadian fluctuation of mean ocular perfusion pressure is a consistent risk factor for normal-tension glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2007;48:104-111.
9. Polska E, Simader C, Weigert G, et al. Regulation of choroidal blood flow during combined changes in intraocular pressure and arterial blood pressure. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2007; 48:3768-3774.
10. Simader C, Lung S, Weigert G, et al. Role of NO in the control of choroidal blood flow during a decrease in ocular perfusion pressure. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2009;50:372-377.
11. Deschênes MC, Descovich D, Moreau M, et al. Postmenopausal hormone therapy increases retinal blood flow and protects the retinal nerve fiber layer. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2010;51: 2587-2600.
12. Zheng Y, Wong TY, Mitchell P, Friedman DS, He M, Aung T. Distribution of ocular perfusion pressure and its relationship with open-angle glaucoma: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2010;51:3399-3404.
13. Sung KR, Cho JW, Lee S, et al. Characteristics of visual field progression in medically treated normal-tension glaucoma patients with unstable ocular perfusion pressure. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52:737-743.
14. Tsutsumi T, Tomidokoro A, Araie M, Iwase A, Sakai H, Sawaguchi S. Planimetrically determined vertical cup/disc and rim width/disc diameter ratios and related factors. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2012;53:1332-1340.
15. Kusumoto FM. Cardiomyopathies. In: Kusumoto FM. *Cardiovascular Pathophysiology*. Raleigh, NC; Hayes Barton Press; 2004:1-40.
16. Cioffi D; American Academy of Ophthalmology. Intraocular pressure and aqueous humor dynamics. In: Cioffi D; *American Academy of Ophthalmology. Basic and clinical Science Course (BCSC) Section 10: Glaucoma*. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2014;13-26.

Citation: *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2016;57:2260.
 doi:10.1167/iops.16-19375

